

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R001100160018-7

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Exec Office E/DDA	7 AUG 1975	38
2	[] - OLC		
3			
4			
5			
6			

<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE	<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

HAND CARRY

DUE TO OLC BY COB 7 AUG 1975

1. [] believes you may wish to review our comments.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

Exec Asst to D/Pers

7 AUG 1975

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R001100160018-7



UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release

INTERNAL
USE ONLY

2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R001100160018-7



CONFIDENTIAL

0018-7



SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Chief, OP/SAS
401 Magazine

EXTENSION



NO.

DATE 7 August 1975

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. OP/EA
5E58 HO
Attn: ☐

7 AUG 1975

Pa

2.

DD Pa

7 AUG 1975

Pa

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Bob,

The attached bill, if approved, would represent a tremendous improvement compared with the very confused situation which now exists. As you can see, the proposed bill establishes terms of reference and prescribes delegations of authority entirely lacking in the original bill.

Proposed Section 459b indicates the authority for promulgating implementing regulations. As far as the Agency is concerned, possibly the Director might wish to request from the Chairman of the Civil Service Commission authority to issue his own regulations governing this matter. I suspect that this provision was incorporated in the draft bill largely to accommodate the military departments, which, according to the newspapers several weeks ago, have gone ahead and issued their own regulations on this matter. With such authority from the Civil Service Commission (pursuant to this bill), an Agency regulation setting forth a simplified procedure might serve our purpose.

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R001100160018-7

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/04/27 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000100160018-7

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Legislative Counsel
7D35 HQ

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

5 August 1975

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. D Director of Personnel
5E13 HQ

6 AUG 1975

2. D/P₂

3. C/SAS

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The attached draft bill and list of "Payments Possibly Subject to Section 459" represents the Administration's attempts to clarify Section 459 of the Social Security Act Amendments of 1974 which subjected federal employees to garnishment proceedings for alimony and child support obligations. The Justice Department has drafted the bill to completely replace the present Section. Regulations will also be issued and these, we hope, will consider the Agency's more parochial interests. OMB wants to move rapidly on the bill, and we have been asked to comment on the Justice Department bill by August 7th. I believe I can stretch our response to Monday, August 11th, but need your views on the draft bill by COB August 7th. Please relay your views to me verbally

Thank you.

Assistant Legislative Counsel

1 & 2
Should be hand carried
to [] for
response. K